

## **Applications 01369/FUL & 12/01370/CAC: St. Clements Car Park, Oxford: Amended Proposals for Car Parking During Construction.**

### **Summary of Public Comments Received following Reconsultation.**

---

The following comments were received. Any additional comments will be reported separately at Planning Review Committee.

#### Highway Authority:

No objection subject to appropriate conditions; Construction Traffic Management plan required (relating to access arrangements, delivery times, routing of vehicles etc); contribution of £3000 required towards temporary amendment of Traffic Regulation Order; drainage to be SUDS compliant; signage strategy required; details of cycle parking; Travel Plan required; car park and pedestrian route to Angel and Greyhound Meadow to be lit; financial contributions required as previously; survey of road conditions required.

#### Highway Authority: Drainage:

No objection; permeable surfaces; attenuation to be closer to Greenfield run off; access to filter drains and areas of attenuation required for maintenance.

#### Third Party Comments.

Comments have been received from the following: London Place Neighbourhood Watch; Oxford High Street Association; 13 Abberbury Road; 5 Bath Street; 12 London Place; 3 Anchor Court; 8 York Place; 23 Cowley Road; 28 & 54 St. Clements.

#### Main points raised:

- Insufficient parking remaining on site.
- Some users of car park staying 2 hours or more.
- Shortage of other parking facilities in the area.
- Additional temporary car parking welcomed.
- Parking on Marston Road still required.
- Marston road car park inadequate.
- Disabled car parking required to be maintained.
- Car park should be retained as existing.
- Replacement car parking should be available before occupation of student accommodation.
- Conflicts between temporary car parking and construction work.
- Long term loss of car parking.
- Access via Caroline Street inappropriate.
- Inability to enforce student car ownership.
- Cycle parking inadequate.
- No details of bus services.
- Disruption to traffic from construction vehicles.
- Construction vehicles need to be properly managed.

- Extends construction period to 69 weeks.
- Inadequate public consultation.
- Development harmful to local businesses.
- Access to site and Florey building unresolved.
- Relationship of buildings unsatisfactory.
- Privacy issues.
- Adverse impact on residential and visual amenity.
- Adverse impact on conservation area and listed buildings.
- Conflict with high buildings policies.
- Buildings too large.
- Named occupier for development needs to be in place.
- Loss of trees and wildlife.
- Loss of open spaces.
- Public toilets required during construction.
- Loss of recycling facilities regretted.
- Contrary to Development Plan.
- Architecture an improvement.
- Biodiversity issues not fully addressed.
- No economic impact assessment submitted.
- Bat survey out of date.

25 Sept 12